

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/602,347  | 06/23/2003    | Joel S. Bader        | 21402-582               | 1815             |
| 75  | 90 06/29/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| CuraGen Corporation   |               |                      | CLOW, LORI A            |                  |
| Jenell Lawson, Intellectual Property 555 Long Wharf Drive New Haven, CT 06551 |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 1631                    |                  |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                |                    |  |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
|  | 10/602,347   | BADER ET AL.                |                    |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner   | Art Unit                    |                    |  |  |  |
|  | Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.  | 1631                        |                    |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |  |                             | dress              |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  | :                           |                    |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>09 December 2005</u>.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> <li>(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.</li> </ol> |  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (   | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an<br>I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which pla          | aces the           |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).   |  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  | i  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated</li> </ul>  |  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
| ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  |  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.   |  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  |  | CFR 1.18(d), is \$          | ·                  |  |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br>Allowability (PTO-37).   | uired by, and within the three-month p   | period set in, the No       | tice of            |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>   | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran   | smission dated              | ), which is        |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |  | ;<br>;                      |                    |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.  | e attorney or agent of record, the ass   | ignee of the entire i       | nterest, or all of |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | attorney or agent (acting in a repres  | entative capacity u         | nder 37 CFR        |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair   |  | e the period for see        | eking court review |  |  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:  |  | •                           |                    |  |  |  |
|  |  |                             |                    |  |  |  |
|  |  | •                           |                    |  |  |  |
| Loui & Clar<br>Patent Examente   |  | Left two message<br>Lawson. | es with Jenell     |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra   | w the holding of abandonment under 37  | CFR 1.181, should be        | promptly filed to  |  |  |  |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Locological

i